

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,364	08/25/2003	Paul Richard Blais	H-732-0-US	2123
24132 7:	24132 7590 05/31/2005		EXAMINER	
HUSKY INJECTION MOLDING SYSTEMS, LTD			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
CO/AMC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GRP 500 QUEEN ST. SOUTH BOLTON, ON L7E 5S5 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			DATE MAKED OF DEPOS	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Nw			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/647,364	BLAIS, PAUL RICHARD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher S. Kim	3752			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)  🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	1av 2005.				
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 5-19 is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	hdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is considerable.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica crity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) cr No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I, Species A, Subspecies aa in the reply filed on May 4, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the propriety of the restriction requirement was asserted without supporting the conclusion. This is not found persuasive because paragraph 2 of the Election/Restriction Requirement mailed on March 8, 2005 provides supporting evidence for the propriety of the election/restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 3, 5, 6-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 4, 2005.

Applicant indicated in the response filed on May 4, 2005 that claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 were readable on the elected invention. Claim 5 recites a "cap" which can only be found in the non-elected Species B, figure 2. The specification discloses, on page 7, paragraph 39, a cap portion 52.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/647,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schwarzkopf (5,573,185).

Schwarzkopf discloses an injection molding nozzle tip comprising: a first portion

1; a second portion 9. The first portion 1 is made of steel to which second portion 9 is

fused to by welds 12 and 13. The different materials of first portion 1 and second

portion 9 is shown by the different cross hatching in figure 3.

If applicant believes that Schwarzkopf does not discloses different materials,

metals/materials other than steel are well known in the art. It would have been obvious

to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the

second portion of Schwarzkopf from metal/material other than steel for heat insulation.

ease in fabrication, prevent corrosion, etc.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3752

272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752